

Engineered Bamboo Composite: Opportunities and Challenges as a Construction Material

Huang Dongsheng, Professor & PhD

National Engineering Research Center of Biomaterials SouthFOR Innovations for Biomaterials and Structures E-mail: dshuang@njfu.edu.cn

Outline

- 1. Why Engineered Bamboo Composite (EBC)
- 2. EBC as a Construction Material
- 3. Challenges
- 4. What shall we do

Why Engineered Bamboo Composite (EBC)



We need to reduce the consumption of mineral resources, but to use renewable resources as possible as we can, such as bamboo and wood instead.





Opportunities for EBC buildings



Overall floorage of wood building constructed in China, 2016-2019 (10^6 m^2)



Cutting down trees is severely restricted due to the policy of environmental protection. Lumber production at home cannot support the needs of building constructions.



Bamboo as a Construction Material

China has long tradition of bamboo housing. Traditional bamboo house: low strength and stiffness, poor durability.

What is EBC

Engineered Bamboo

Bamboo-based composites designed for structural applications having specific mechanical properties and more than 50 years of durability in dry use.



Mechanical properties	E9	E10	E11	E12	E14	E16
Tensile modulus parallel to the grain $E_{i,0}$ / MPa	9000	10000	11000	12000	14000	16000
Flexural modulus parallel to the grain E_m / MPa	6500	7700	9000	10200	11500	13000
Tensile strength parallel to the grain $f_{i,i}$ / MPa	74	82	90	98	106	114
Compressive strength parallel to the grain $f_{\epsilon,o}/MPa$	72	78	84	91	97	103
Shear strength parallel to the grain f_{s0} / MPa	5	5	8	8	12	12
Flexural strength parallel to the grain f_m^{\prime}/MPa	65	76	87	98	109	120
Tensile strength perpendicular to the grain $~f_{\rm _{50}}/~{\rm MPa}$	3	3	4	4	5	5.0
ompressive limit strength perpendicular to the grain $f_{c,0}$ / MPa	22	26	30	34	38	42
Compressive strength perpendicular to the grain $f_{ m ce,90}/{ m MPa}$	25	30	35	40	45	50

Table 2. The characteristic values of strength and elastic modulus for LVB in different grades

Mechanical properties	E8	E9	E10	E11	E12	E13
Tensile modulus parallel to the grain $~E_{\rm t,0}$ / MPa	8000	9000	10000	11000	12000	13000
Flexural modulus parallel to the grain E_n / MPa	6000	6600	7100	7600	8200	8700
Tensile strength parallel to the grain $f_{i,i}$ / MPa	69	76	83	90	97	104
Compressive strength parallel to the grain $\int_{c,0}/MPa$	43	46	49	52	55	58
Shear strength parallel to the grain f_{s0} / MPa	5	5	7	7	9	9
Flexural strength parallel to the grain f_m / MPa	65	72	79	86	93	100
Tensile strength perpendicular to the grain $~f_{\rm cyc}/~{\rm MPa}$	2	2	3	3	4	4
mpressive limit strength perpendicular to the grain $f_{c,m}$ / MPa	10	10	10	11	11	11
Compressive strength perpendicular to the grain $f_{ m ce,90}/ m MPa$	14	14	14	16	16	16

Table 1. The characteristic values of strength and elastic modulus for PSB in different grades

Mechanical properties of EBC

Comparing the mechanical properties with that of commonly used wood products



Opportunities of EBC as an Construction Material





- 1 Tourist service, NANJING, China
- 2 Filed camp, Shaowu, China
- ③ House in Sichuan for earthquake disaster rebuild
- ④ Office building in Nanjing, China
- A concept design of EBC overcrossing,

Challenges

- 1. How to make bamboo composite with stable properties to meet the requirements of construction engineering?
- 2. Design philosophy of EBC structures?
 - (1) Ultimate state-based analysis of EBC components (nonlinearity)

(2) Connections

(3) Long term properties (creep sensitive to ambient environment)

3. Economy and acceptability

Researches on EBC manufacturing technique



Researches on constitutive properties of EBC



Fig. 5. Stress-strain relationship and failure mode of compression in axis-1



Fig. 6. Stress-strain relationship and failure mode of compression in axis-2



Constitutive nonlinearity could result in nonlinear responses of EBC members in the ultimate

Fig. 7. Stress-strain relationship and failure mode of shearing-parallel-to-grain

state

Ulti

Breat ---

Hollows

Bottom shee

Successi debondi

mata	stata 1	0000	Comparing the results o	f tests to that of calcu	llations.					
mate state-base		Jase	No.	a (mm)	Ultimate load (kN)	Ultimate load (kN)		Ultimate deflection (mm)	Aection (mm)	
					Test values	Calculation valu	ies	Test values	Calculation values	
			L1-1 L1-3 L1-4	450 450 450	57.14 58.47 64.89	66.37		39.14 43.25 50.24	50.05	
574~ ····································	25 4 - Grow		L2-2 L2-3 L2-4 L2-5	450 450 450	63.88 63.89 58.82 54.25	66.54		40.24 46.48 29.31 38.82	50.13	
in the out		<u> </u>	L1-2 L1-5 L1-6	350 350 350	84.81 76.03 75.36	85.33		49.29 48.49 48.92	53.21	
Failure m	ode		L2-6 L2-7 L2-8 L2-9	350 350 350 350	80.17 70.05 73.28 74.27	85.56		50.04 49.32 33.48 34.38	53.29	
omparison t	the results of ex	periments an	d analyses.		b)	(c)				
Category Lengths/mm Spans/mm Labels of specimen Ultimate load/kN Ultimate		Ultimate def	Jltimate deformation/mm							
				Experime	nt Mean of experiments	Calculation	Experiment	Mean of experiments	Calculation	
1	3600	3450	C1-1 C1-2 C1-3	141.00 147.34 147.81	145.38	143.02	140.11 139.96 139.89	139.99	148.02	
2	3900	3750	C2-1 C2-2 C2-3	194.84 189.72 171.10	185.22	174.02	136.05 130.94 120.48	129.16	128.21	
3	4200	4050	C3-1 C3-2 C3-3	231.07 208.55 220.83	220.15	215.85	125.65 118.78 125.94	123.46	124.14	
				Tensile zone		il d	$= \frac{1}{4} t \lambda_1 \kappa_p [(y + \frac{1}{2} t \lambda_3 [(y $	$\frac{y_{p} + y_{c}}{y_{p}} - \frac{y_{c}}{y_{c}} + \frac{y_{c}}{3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	$\kappa_{p}[(y_{p}+y_{c})] =$	

(b)

(9)

Initial breakage

Inelastic analysis of columns under eccentrically compressive loading



$$M_{s} = \frac{1}{4}b\lambda_{1}\Phi_{p}^{2}[y_{ce}^{4} - (y_{ce} + y_{cp})^{4}] - \frac{1}{3}b\lambda_{2}\Phi_{p}[y_{ce}^{3} - (y_{ce} + y_{cp})^{3}] + \frac{1}{2}b\lambda_{3}[y_{ce}^{2} - (y_{ce} + y_{cp})^{2}]$$



Inelastic analysis of columns under combined biaxial bending and compression



Table 2. Comparison of the Ultimate Load-carrying Capacities of the Test Samples from the Calculations and Tests

	L (mm)	Slenderness Ratio	e _{0x} (mm)	e _{0y} (mm)	Experimental Value (kN)	Calculated Value (kN)	Error (%)
		45	40.0	23.1	167	156.7	6.2
	1300		69.3	40.0	134	137.9	2.91
			40.0	40.0	150	171.4	14.27
			56.6	56.6	128	132.3	3.36
			84.9	84.9	90	94.8	5.33
	1650	57	40.0	23.1	145	127.9	11.8
			69.3	40.0	103	114.0	10.68
			40.0	40.0	135	136.1	0.81
			56.6	56.6	100	110.0	10.00
			84.9	84.9	75	82.7	10.27

$$P = \frac{1}{2(b+b_2)} \cdot \frac{(b_1+b_2)f_1 + (b_1-b_2-2b)f_{ee}}{E}$$

$$N = EAR + \frac{Ea}{3} \left\{ a(b_1 - b_2)P - \frac{1}{4} \left[4b^2 - 3(b_1 + b_2)^2 - (b_1 - b_2)^2 \right] Q - 3(2b - b_1 - b_2)R \right\} - \alpha a(2b - b_1 - b_2)f_{ce}$$

Fracture

We need to answer two basic questions: (1) on what condition will the crack extend? and (2) what crack can the structure tolerant?



Fracture is the basic failure mode of EBC. Material nonlinearity is caused by micro voids coalesces other than cracking

Fracture analysis of EBC is a big challenge

Characteristics of EBC cracking



Mode II crack

Mode I and I+II crack



Fracture process zone (FPZ) .

Initial cracks, micro-voids existence in EBC. Crack propagation, voids coalescence are major damage and failure mechanism of EBC structures.

Analytical model for mode I fracture analysis



$$\begin{split} &\frac{d^4\delta\left(x-\Delta a\right)}{d(x-\Delta a)} - \alpha^4\delta\left(x-\Delta a\right) = 0, \, \text{for } \Delta a \leqslant x < + \infty \\ &\frac{d^4\delta\left(x\right)}{dx^4} + \beta^4\delta(x) - \delta_0 = 0, \, \text{for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \Delta a \end{split}$$

 $\delta_{e}(x) = A_{1}e^{-\alpha(x-\Delta a)} + A_{2}e^{\alpha(x-\Delta a)} + A_{3}\cos\alpha(x-\Delta a) + A_{4}\sin(x-\Delta a), \text{ for } \Delta a \leq x < +\infty$ $G_{1} = \frac{P^{2}}{4\zeta^{2}(B_{2}-B_{4})E_{1}I} \{\delta_{2} + 2\theta_{p}(\Delta a)a_{0} + 2\zeta^{2}[(B_{2}(\Delta a)-B_{4}(\Delta a))a_{0}^{2}]\}$ $\delta_{\rm p}(x)=e^{\zeta x}(B_1{\rm cos}\zeta x+B_2{\rm sin}\zeta x)+e^{-\zeta x}(B_3{\rm cos}\zeta x+B_4{\rm sin}\zeta x)+\frac{\delta_0}{c^4}, \, {\rm for} \,\, 0\leqslant x<\Delta a$ Elastic deformation 2700 180 r 160 Length of FPZ=12.11 mm Crack extension 2400 FPZ δ $G = 145 \text{ J/m}^2$ 2100 Damaged area Undamaged area 140 1800 Load, N ²Щ 120 ال³ 100 1500 1200 ŝ 900 80 Modeling Curve 600 r Experimental Curve 60 300 $\Delta a = l_{\text{FPZ}}$ $x - \Delta a$ a_{\circ} r 6 2 3 4 5 6 0 10 11 12 100 105 110 120 125 COD mm a mm

δ

Analytical model for mode II fracture analysis



 τ

Study on Mode I+II fracture



Fig. 1. Schematic illustration for the test method: (a) MMB (b) DCB (c) ENF.



Detecting the crack length by using DIC and high-speed camera technique

A-13-1

4-13-2

A-13-3

- A-13-4

4-13-5

a(mm)

3.6

3.4

3.0 3.0 2.8 2.6 2.4 2.2 2.0

1.8 1.6

1.4

1.0 0.8

0.6

0.4 0.2

0.0 140 150 160 170 180 190 200

 $G_{II}(N/mm)$



Test of Mode II fracture



Mode I fracture test (DCB)



Measure of crack length



Connection



Different from wood, nail and screw may not be appropriate for EBC connecting. Hence the design and construction of EBC building, to some extend, are different from that of wood buildings. Bolt and dowel connections may be the major joint manners for EBC buildings. Consequently, moment frame probably is a preferable structure style for EBC buildings.

Bolt and dowel types of connection may be the major joint method for EBC structures.



Temperature-depended properties



Classical constitutive law based on the assumption of adiabatic prosses no longer validate in case of EBC imposed on high temperature circumstance.



Sensitive to the variation of ambient temperature and humidity: mechanosorptive creep

Ambient temperature and relative humidity change can drive water moving in or out bamboo materials leading mechano-sorptive creep







What shall we do next? fill the GAP between material and buildings

GAP

- 1. Performance based manufacturing technique
- 2. Design philosophy
- Solution: Standard of EBC.



The mechanical properties, such as strength, MOE, MOR, etc. are not made as desired.



THANK YOU